



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/533,149

04/27/2005

Paul J. Rubas

2002.002

5371

34477

7590

07/21/2008

Exxon Mobil Upstream  
Research Company  
P.O. Box 2189  
(CORP-URC-SW 359)  
Houston, TX 77252-2189

EXAMINER

MILLER HARRIS, AMBER R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/21/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/533,149	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RUBAS, PAUL J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> AMBER MILLER HARRIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) AMBER MILLER HARRIS. (3) ADAM BROWN.  
 (2) WALTER GRIFFIN. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Danstrom et al. US 6,926,829 and Yamamori et al. 5,922,201.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed the difference between potted ends, verses manifolds, as well as the temperature flexibility of the applicant's invention. as well as the difference of Dannstorm et al. and Yamamori et al. when compared to the applicant's invention in reference to the pressure which the vessel is able to withstand.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amber Miller-Harris/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required